Assessment of acceptable noise level in unilateral hearing aid users
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Abstract

Background and Aim: It is well known that hearing aid fitting is an effective approach to improve the communication ability of hearing-impaired people. In the past, most of the hearing aids were fitted unilaterally rather than bilaterally. Whereas the unilateral hearing aid fitting improves verbal communication partially, it causes late-onset auditory deprivation. The main aim of this study is to investigate the ANL for each ear among the users with unilateral hearing aid experience.

Methods: A total of 23 participants were recruited (14 females, 9 males). The mean age was 74.65 years (ranged from 41 to 83). All subjects had bilateral symmetric sensorineural hearing loss. The most comfortable level (MCL), Background Noise Level (BNL), and acceptable noise level (ANL) were measured for ear with amplification experience and ear without experience.

Results: MCL, BNL and ANL in the aided ear was 82.22, 73.48 and 8.74 respectively, in addition in the unaided ear the results for MCL, BNL and ANL was 81.78, 72.13 and 9.65 respectively. Comparing the mean values of MCL, BNL and ANL between two ears showed no significant difference.

Conclusion: There was not any difference for BNL and ANL measures
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Introduction

People with hearing impairments complain from poor function in voice recognition, speech recognition in noisy environments, and communication with others [1], localization [2], and lateralization. They also have problems such as tinnitus [3], hyperacusis [4], over-concentration fatigue [5], memory disorders [6], depression and Alzheimer's [7]. In 1999, over 68% of hearing aids provided in the USA were prescribed binaurally [8]. Except in a few cases, the use of binaural hearing aids is a priority [8]. The percentage of unilateral hearing aid prescriptions in Iran is significant and is due to financial problems, not covered by insurance, cultural problems, cosmetic issue, and binaural interference. The reasons that explain why binaural hearing in noise is better than monaural hearing in noise
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include head diffraction, which is a completely acoustic phenomenon [9], binaural squelch which means the time difference between messages being sent to the brain by the ears [10], and binaural redundancy, which shows the brain's ability to collect signals sent by the ears [11].

Using unilateral hearing aids in people with bilateral hearing impairment leads to late onset auditory deprivation (LOAD) on ear that does not use a hearing aid [12]. LOAD was first introduced in 1984 by Silman et al. This study showed that using unilateral hearing aids in people with bilateral hearing impairment leads to a decrease in the word recognition score (WRS) in the unaided ear [13]. In another study, the researchers found that the aided ear compared to the unaided ear showed a better recognition in the higher intensity than the unaided ear; it is noteworthy that the change in the perception of sound intensity was only at frequencies amplified by hearing aids [14]. Munro and Trotter studied the severity of uncomfortable loudness level (ULL) in people using unilateral hearing aid. All participants in the study had bilateral and symmetrical hearing impairment. The mean ULL in the two ears before the hearing aid was equal, but after the hearing aids prescription, the sound tolerance in the aided ear increased and showed a significant difference with the unaided ear. It is noteworthy that the difference was higher at higher frequencies in the area where the hearing aid had more amplification [15].

Walker et al. assumed that the acoustic reflex would also change, given the change in ULL in people who used unilateral hearing aids; previous studies have shown the relationship between ULL and acoustic reflexes [16]. This indicates that the use of unilateral hearing aid and the development of hearing loss not only affect recognition and perception of sound but may also affect the physiology of the auditory pathway. The result showed that the threshold of acoustic reflex was 2 to 9 dB higher than the other ear depending on the measured frequency. Given the overlap of the acoustic reflex pathway and the auditory brainstem response (ABR) [17], Munro et al., suggested that the use of unilateral hearing aids in people with bilateral hearing impairment may also affect ABR. All participants in this study had bilateral and symmetrical hearing impairment. Click responses in the ABR test in participants who did not use hearing aid, were similar in the two ears, but in participants with hearing aids, the mean amplitude of the peak to pick of the wave V to SN10 was higher in the amplified ear than in the other ear [18]. In another study, event-related potentials were investigated in a group of patients with sensorinaural hearing loss (SNHL) and symmetric hearing impairment. All participants in the study used unilateral hearing aids. The test continued with two different stimuli; the first stimulus consisted of low frequencies and the second stimulus consisted of higher frequencies; the results showed that at higher frequency and intensity stimulus, amplitude of N1-P2 in the aided ear was higher than that in the unaided ear but at lower intensities amplitude of N1-P2 in the unaided ear was much higher and there was little difference between the two intensities of high or low when the stimulus was presented at low frequency. The results were also examined by functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) to investigate structural changes in the brain following long-term use of unilateral hearing aids, as expected, results were consistent with previous studies [19]. These studies showed that the use of unilateral hearing aids in people with bilateral hearing impairment can change ear function.

Nabelek et al., invented a test that examined the rate of noise acceptance [20]. During this test, connected speech was delivered to the individual. They were required to adjust the intensity to the most comfortable level (MCL). After measuring the MCL, a background noise was broadcast to the individual. It was a multi-speaker recorded noise called multi-talker babble noise. The person was asked to put the noise at a level that was of the highest intensity and at the same time not disturb the person and be able to follow the speech. The background noise was called background noise level (BNL). The difference between MCL and BNL was acceptable noise level (ANL). Studies have investigate the various factors that influence this test. The severity of hearing impairment, age, gender, individual
ULL, and medial olivo-cochlear bundle activity had no effect on the final result of ANL; however, changes in intensity of connected speech presentation, working memory capacity, medication withdrawal in ADHD patients, central cognitive processes, personality, and audiogram configuration affect the outcome [21-31]. This study aimed to investigate the effect of hearing impairment on monaural ANL.

**Methods**

The study population included 23 individuals, including 14 females and 9 males. Mean age ranged from 41 to 83 years, mean age was 65.74 years and standard deviation was 11.51 years. Inclusion criteria included 6 months or more of unilateral hearing aid experience; age range between 18 and 85 years; average hearing thresholds of 0.5, 1, 2, and 4 kHz in the range of mild to severe hearing loss; maximum 10 dB air-bone gap (ABG) per frequency; symmetrical hearing loss; ≥ 10 dB threshold difference at 4 frequencies 0.5, 1, 2, and 4 kHz between two ears; word recognition score (WRS) of 72% or higher (25 monosyllabic words); no history of neurological diseases; middle ear pressure between +50 to −100 and static compliance also between 0.3 to 1.6 mmho; obtaining +100 score in the Edinburgh questionnaire; and hearing aid experience for at least eight hours per day according to the International Outcome Inventory for Hearing Aid (IOI-HA).

The participants were selected from hospitals and centers affiliated to Shahid Beheshti Medical University such as Loghman Hakim Hospital or private Clinics. All of them met the inclusion criteria and signed the informed consent prior the study. ANL results were obtained and recorded by a software designed by the MATLAB program. The software was installed on a laptop that could play audio files through the headphones monaurally. To increase the sound intensity, an amplifier was fitted to allow ANL detection up to 100 dB HL level under the headphones. Standard headphones were also provided for the sound. The devices were calibrated for 4 to 5 sessions using the sound level meter Type 2250-S analyzer. After selecting the acoustic location to evaluate the ANL, the process of recording results was began. The ANL testing was first instructed to the participant. In this version of ANL, a story was used as connected speech and a 12-talker babble noise. In the program developed by MATLAB, the test file from section 3 (Fig. 1) was selected.

After selecting the desired file, the intensity steps of connected speech were selected in section 5. Then, the connected speech was adjusted to the MCL by the speaker key (section 6 or 7 depending on the testing ear). The desired intensity level was recorded as monaural MCL.

To measure BNL, the background noise intensity was chosen in section 9. Then, connected speech was presented to the same ear with the intensity level measured as the monaural MCL, along with the babble noise at 20 dB lower than MCL, to the same ear. It is noteworthy that connected speech and background noise were provided in sections 10 and 11 (depending on the testing ear). At this time, the intensity of the speech remained constant, but the level of noise intensity increased to a level that was no longer tolerable by the subject, while the speech was intelligible and they could follow the sentences. The measured noise intensity level was recorded as monaural BNL. The difference between MCL and BNL in one ear was the monaural ANL. All the steps described were performed separately in the aided and the unaided ears. The monaural parameters (MCL, BNL, and ANL) were measured in each ear.

**Results**

The age range of participants was 41 to 83 years old, mean age 65.74 years old and standard deviation of 11.51 years old. Mean and standard deviation of the period of use of unilateral hearing aid was 12.03 months and standard deviation of 1.7 months, respectively.

Table 1 shows mean, standard deviation, range, minimum, and maximum MCL, BNL, ANL, and the hearing threshold of 0.25, 0.5, 1, 2, 4, and 8 kHz in each ear. Statistical paired t-test showed no significant difference between mean MCL in aided and unaided ears. Paired t-test showed that the mean BNL was not significantly different
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Correlation analysis by Pearson test between ANL and hearing thresholds at different frequencies in aided ear showed a significant and moderate correlation between monaural ANL and hearing threshold at 1 and 4 kHz (p < 0.032 and p < 0.043, respectively). No significant correlation was found at the rest of the frequencies.

Also, there was no significant correlation between the ANL and hearing thresholds at different frequencies in unaided ear based on Pearson test.

Discussion

The current study examined the correlation between ANL and LOAD in users who had bilateral hearing loss but used monaural hearing aid. The study population included 23, including 9 men and 14 women.

Cherry et al. drew the world's scientific attention to binaural phenomena following publishing their article titled "Some experiments on the recognition of speech, with one and with two ears" [31]. Since then, hundreds of studies on the benefits of binaural hearing and binaural speech perception have been done. Noise tolerance and speech perception in noise have been the focus of attention in recent years. One way to test the noise tolerance was to use the ANL test developed by Nabelek in 1991 [20]. The monaural ANL consists of two components; that the delivered speech which according to researchers occurs in mid- and high frequency regions and has the greatest effect on the MCL intensity [32], and the other part is babble noise, which has the highest frequency spectrum distribution in the middle and low frequencies, and has the highest impact on BNL intensity [31,33]. Therefore, if the hearing thresholds are symmetrical at different frequencies in both ears, the monaural ANL in the two ears will not be much different; in the present study, in addition to hearing loss, the effect of LOAD on ANL due to monaural use of hearing aids was investigated, and it was found that LOAD leads to lower MCL. BNL decreased as well. Because of concurrent MCL and BNL changes, ANL showed no significant difference between two ears. The reason can be attributed to the relationship between audiogram configuration and the ANL. In most of studies, there was no significant relationship between audiogram shape and ANL, but according to Olsen et al., contrary to previous findings, the relationship between hearing thresholds and ANL was significant and the ANL would be higher if the slope of the audiogram is such that the average threshold before the 1 kHz frequency is significantly
different from the average thresholds of higher frequencies [31].

According to numerous studies on the speech frequency spectrum, it can be concluded that the highest frequency distribution of the speech frequency spectrum is in the middle frequencies and to a lesser extent at the higher frequencies. Studies have also shown that the highest frequency spectrum of babble noise is at low frequencies [33].

Due to the high mean age in this study; it was impossible to convince some elderly to participate in this study. It is suggested that the same study be conducted with a lower mean age and higher hearing threshold or in participants with asymmetric hearing loss.

**Conclusion**

The results of this study show that LOAD has no significant effect on noise tolerance and there is no significant difference between ANL, BNL and MCL in subjects with bilateral and symmetrical hearing loss but use the unilateral hearing aid. It is worth noting that in people with higher hearing loss or longer their deprivation time; different results are likely to be expected.
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**Table 1. Descriptive measures of hearing threshold, most comfortable loudness level, background noise level, and acceptable noise level in aided and unaided ears (n = 23)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hearing threshold (dB HL)</th>
<th>Aided ear</th>
<th>Unaided ear</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>Min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.25 kHz</td>
<td>35 (8.6)</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5 kHz</td>
<td>40.43 (10.96)</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 kHz</td>
<td>46.96 (10.84)</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 kHz</td>
<td>51.74 (11.83)</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 kHz</td>
<td>63.70 (14.78)</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 kHz</td>
<td>13.47 (72.14)</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Most comfortable level (dB HL)</td>
<td>82.22 (8.2)</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Background noise level (dB HL)</td>
<td>73.48 (9.29)</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acceptable noise level (dB HL)</td>
<td>8.74 (3.29)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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